

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 948 OF 2005

IN

STAMP NUMBER MAIN NO. 2924 OF 2005

SMT. MALAVA GIDAPPA HARIJAN AND 2
ORS.,

....Applicants

Versus

SHRI SHANTARAM NAIK AND 4 ORS.,

....Respondents

Mrs. N. Narvekar, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. Vaman Keshav Bodke, Advocate for Respondent No. 1.

Coram:- SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

Date:- 12th July, 2006

P.C.:

Learned Counsel for the applicants states that respondents 2,3 & 4 are not the contesting respondents so far as civil application is concerned. She says that matter involves easementary rights and respondents 2,3 & 4 have settled in U.S.A.. She says that they may be permitted to be deleted from the civil application. Hence respondents 2,3 & 4 are permitted to be deleted from this civil application. Amendment is carried out by the learned Counsel forthwith.

Respondent 1 is served.

This civil application is for condonaion of delay. Second appeal is beyond time by three days. For the reasons stated in civil application, delay is condoned.

Civil application is disposed of.

SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

NH